

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/838,618	04/19/2001	Brett T. Haarala	10123/01101	3578	
	7590 11/18/2004		EXAMINER		
Fay Kaplun & Marcin, LLP			BUECHNER, PATRICK M		
150 Broadway Suite 702			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
New York, NY 10038			3754		

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$1/\cup$		
Advisory Action	09/838,618	HAARALA ET AL.	U ,		
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Patrick M Buechner	3754			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 08 November 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice I) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper re ch places the appli	ply to a cation in		
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advervent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE terms on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. 136(a) and the appropriate te fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF)	s Brief must be filed within the p R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:				
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or	simplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.		
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.		ana a sa			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	or reconsideration has been con ee Continuation Sheet	sidered but does N	OT place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which w	ere newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)□ will not be entered or to the could be rejected is provided be	o)∐ will be entered low or appended.	and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b)□ disapproved by	the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	fle	MICHAEL MAR	Mar AAAINED		
		rvisory patent ex Chnology center			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Yoon discloses the structure recited by applicant in claims 43, 44 and 61. The structure of Yoon would inherently open outwardly or inwardly when a pressure differential is large enough. Applicant argues biological presures would not be large enough, however, applicant has not limited claims 43, 44 and 61 to biological pressures. Applicants arguments with respect to claims 45 and 46 are incomplete, relying on the assumption that the arguments presented overcome the rejections of claims 43 and 44...

